

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,694	08/07/2001	Atsushi Suzuki	210377US0	8724
22850	7590 04/12/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			HAWES, PILI ASABI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	·	1615	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/922,694	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pili A. Hawes	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 April 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 2-6,10-16 and 30-39 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 2-6,10-16 and 30-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	•					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-28-2004.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/922,694

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Action Summary**

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt of applicant's amendment and request for reconsideration, filed April 19, 2004.

Claims 8 and 20-29 have been cancelled. Claims 2-6, 10-16 and 30-39 are active in this action.

In view of further review of claim 10, the scope has been reevaluated and prosecution is being continued.

Claims 2-6, 10-16, and 30-39 are rejected.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 2-6, 10-16, 30-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S.

Patent No. 6,310,100 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Art Unit: 1615

patentably distinct from each other because both inventions disclose treatments for hypertension comprised of ferulic acid or a derivative thereof. The therapeutic compositions comprising ferulic acid and its derivatives may further comprise pharmaceutical products, nutritional supplements or products, and foods. The reference does not specifically claim chlorogenic or caffeic acid in combination with ferulic acid, but in claim 5 it does disclose a composition "consisting essentially of ferulic acid or a derivative thereof, and at least one other anti-hypertensive compound," which would encompass chlorogenic and caffeic acid. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine chlorogenic and caffeic acids, which are known anti-hypertensive agents to a composition comprising ferulic acid with the expectation of successful treatment of hypertension with such a composition.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 1615

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-6, 10-16, and 30-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abraham (XP-001148404, 1996) in view of Hsu (US 5,958,417) and further in view of Ghai et al. (US 5,955,269). The claims are to a composition comprised of ferulic acid and caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid or a combination of caffeic acid and chlorogenic acid. Abraham discloses a dietary constituent comprising a combination of chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid and ferulic acid (Table 1). These phenolic compounds occur in some of the commonly consumed vegetables, fruits and beverages (page 19, column 1). Abraham does not expressly disclose that the referenced dietary constituents are used in the treatment of hypertension. However, Hsu ('417) addresses this limitation by disclosing that the active principles, chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid, found in the herbal substance, Crataegus, are used to treat hypertension (column 2, lines 59-61).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the dietary constituents disclosed by Abraham to make a composition for treatment of hypertension as discussed by Hsu because of the need for alternatives to conventional pharmaceuticals currently used to treat hypertension, with an expectation of fewer harmful side effects.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,694

Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

The examiner cites Ghai et al. (US 5, 955,269) that discloses processed foods, or foods fortified with nutraceuticals and the methods of adjusting the combination and level of these nutraceutical compounds in a supplement or in food products to achieve added nutritional or therapeutic benefit (col. 25, lines 1-3, col. 26, lines 43-50 and col. 27, lines 19-25). The reference further teaches that nutraceutical compounds can be administered by inhalation; orally as tablets, capsules, or liquid preparations; controlled release formulations; or as food supplements (col. 25-26). Table 1 (col. 23, lines 41-65) further discloses examples of phenolic acids, such as caffeic, chlorogenic, and ferulic acids as examples of food substances that can be used as nutraceuticals. The reference does not disclose the anti-hypertensive properties of caffeic, chlorogenic, or ferulic acids. However, the teachings of Hsu, as discussed above, do address this limitation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine ferulic acid with chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid as taught by Ghai to obtain synergistic effects in the treatment of hypertension. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a nutraceutical supplement to fortify foods or beverages as taught by Ghai, and to use said foods or beverages in the treatment of hypertension as taught by Hsu, with an expectation of reduced toxicity.

#### Conclusion

Claims 2-6, 10-16, and 30-39 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,694 Page 6

**Art Unit: 1615** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pili A. Hawes whose telephone number is 571-272-8512. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P. A. Hawes Examiner-1615

- THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATERT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600